Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/647,259	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Emmanuel Bayard	2611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the ortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);		
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims. 			ie issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Claim(s) printed by the status of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Objected to: Objected		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but because the claims limitations are met by Gans et al. In two would eliminate the used of an analog processor (see co case stand rejected as stated in the final office action date.)	act Gans et al teach that his systel I.8, lines 33-38). Therefore applica	<u>m could use a digital i</u>	nterface which	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:				
	/Emmanuel Bayard/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2611		